City of Kingston, ON Class Environmental Assessment and P

Public Information Centre (PIC) #1 (Virtual) May 8th, 2024 Hwy401KingstonBridgesEA.ca

If you require any assistance regarding the accessibility of these materials, please let us know by emailing <u>ProjectTeam@hwy401kingstonbridgesea.ca</u> and we would be happy to assist you.

Pour obtenir des renseignements en français, composer le 1-705-919-6786 (Patrick Hébert), Courriel: patrick.hebert1@aecom.com



Class Environmental Assessment and Preliminary Design Study (GWP 4029-20-00)





- Thank you for your participation. Your input is appreciated!
- Other information available on this website includes the following:
 - **PIC #1 Video Presentation**
 - PIC #1 Presentation Slides [PDF]
 - PIC #1 Presentation Transcript [PDF]
 - Sydenham Road Bridge Replacement / Alignment Alternatives [PDF]
 - Sydenham Road Interchange Alternatives [PDF]
 - Preliminary Traffic Management Alternatives [PDF]
- We invite you to please review the presentation material and submit any comments using the Comment Sheet provided.



Welcome

Study Website: Hwy401KingstonBridgesEA.ca







- (GWP 4029-20-00).
- > This study includes:
 - preliminary construction staging, and traffic management needs.





Project Overview

> The Ontario Ministry of Transportation (MTO) has retained the services of AECOM to undertake a Preliminary Design (PD) and Class Environmental Assessment (Class EA) Study for the replacement of two bridges that are approaching the end of their service life on Highway 401 including Eastbound (EB) Collins Creek Bridge and Sydenham Road Bridge within the City of Kingston

> Determining the structural needs of the aging bridges including accommodating the future footprint of Highway 401,

> Determining the interchange improvement needs at Sydenham Road and Highway 401 for future operations. > Developing a preliminary traffic management plan for Kingston Road 38 bridge replacement and a new eastbound onramp. The need for a Kingston Road 38 bridge replacement and a new eastbound on-ramp was identified in a previous MTO Class EA (GWP 4049-11-00 Highway 401/Kingston Road 38 Interchange Operational Improvements) completed in 2016. > Developing a preliminary design that allows for the technically preferred bridge replacement and interchange improvement works to be implemented efficiently, minimizing construction costs, traffic disruption, and future waste.





Purpose of Public Information Centre (PIC) #1

The purpose of this Public Information Centre (PIC) is to present and receive feedback on the following:

- Study area and scope.
- **MTO Class EA Process.**
- Key Objectives of the study, study process, and timing of study activities.
- Existing conditions of the study area.
- Challenges and Opportunities and the need for highway improvements.
- at Sydenham Road and bridge replacement strategies.
- Preliminary criteria to be used to evaluate the alternatives.
- Next Steps.



Alternatives being considered to address the identified challenges including alternative interchange configurations

MTO Class EA Process

EA Process:

> This **Preliminary Design** and **Class Environmental Assessment** study is following the approved planning process for a Group 'B' in accordance with the MTO Class EA for Provincial Transportation Facilities (2000).

Environmental Studies & EA Documentation:

- > Investigations pertaining to the natural, socio-economic, and cultural heritage environments are being undertaken to summarize existing conditions and to identify any areas of environmental concern or constraint.
- > This information will be used to evaluate the alternatives, assess the potential for impact and in the selection of the Recommended Plan and the development of appropriate mitigation measures.
- > A Transportation Environmental Study Report (TESR) will be prepared to document the study process including a summary of the consultation completed. The TESR will be placed on the public record for a 30-day review period.
- Consultation is a key component of the MTO Class EA process and is ongoing throughout this study. Consultation is being completed with Indigenous Communities, agencies, the public, key stakeholders, and also includes meetings with a Municipal Advisory Committee (MAC) at key milestones during the process.









Key study components include:

- Review of the existing conditions and deficiencies within the project limits;
- Investigation of reasonable alternatives to address the current and future transportation needs at the bridge locations;
- Completion of Environmental investigations, documentation, and consultation;
- Preparation of a Group 'B' TESR and Preliminary Design Report (PDR);
- Traffic Analysis & Preliminary Construction Staging;
- Other technical specialty studies including Structural, Electrical (illumination and traffic signals), Pavement, Foundations, and Drainage & Hydrology Engineering;
- Identification of utility impacts and preliminary utility relocation requirements.



Key Study Components





Environmental studies are currently underway to document existing conditions.

 There are a number of sensitive environmental features within the study area that will be considered in the evaluation of alternatives and ultimately, in selection of the Recommended Plan.

Legend Bridge Location **Potential Heritage Property H** Main Railway Spur Railway ✓ Watercourse **Earth Science ANSI** Wooded Area Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW)

Unevaluated Wetland



Environmental Existing Conditions







Eastbound (EB) Collins Creek Overpass Overview

Background

- Existing bridge built in 1959.
- Adjacent Westbound (WB) Collins Creek bridge was replaced in 2021 along with improvements to Kingston Road 38 interchange (Contract 2018-4011).

Current Scope of Work

Develop preliminary plan for bridge replacement and traffic management needs for the construction contract.

Issues & Constraints

- Highway 401 EB detours, lane closures, and traffic disruption.
- Proximity to Collins Creek Provincially Significant Wetland Complex, potential to impact fish/aquatic habitat, and navigability.
- Proximity to KR 38 interchange.



Highway 401 Bridge Improvements at Collins Creek and Interchange/Bridge Improvements at Kingston Road 38 and Sydenham Road



Eastbound Collins Creek Bridge



Eastbound Collins Creek Bridge







Kingston Road 38 (KR 38) Underpass and Interchange Overview

Background

- Existing bridge built in 1961.
- Interim interchange improvements were completed in 2021 (Contract 2018-4011).
- Previous Approved PD & EA Study (GWP 4049-11-00) identified ultimate improvement recommendations including bridge replacement (3 Southbound (SB) and 3 Northbound (NB) lanes), a new N-E "loop" on-ramp (in the southwest quadrant), and associated tie-in works.

Current Scope of Work

Review previous approved study (GWP 4049-11-00) and develop a preliminary plan for traffic management needs for the construction contract.

Issues & Constraints

- Highway 401 detours, lane closures, and traffic disruptions.
- Interchange is important for Long Combination Vehicle (LCV) operations and access to the "611 Truck Stop".



Highway 401 Bridge Improvements at Collins Creek and Interchange/Bridge Improvements at Kingston Road 38 and Sydenham Road



KR 38 Bridge (Westbound)



Proposed KR 38 Bridge and Interchange





Sydenham Road Underpass & Interchange Overview

Background

- Existing bridge built in 1957.
- Last major interchange improvements completed in 2009 (WP 28-97-00).

Current Scope of Work

Develop preliminary plan for bridge replacement, interchange improvement (including AT) and traffic management needs for construction.

Issues & Constraints

- Highway 401 detours, lane closures, and traffic disruptions.
- Utilities (e.g., watermain, TNPI pipeline, Bell, Hydro One, Cogeco).
 - Shallow watermain located near Sydenham Road bridge.
- Existing industrial/commercial properties, entrances, and proposed developments in the vicinity of the interchange.
- Cloverdale Park, K&P Trail and parking lot, watercourses.
- Proximity to McIvor Road and Sunnyside Road.
- Several potential heritage structures on the north side of the interchange.
- Agricultural lands in the northeast quadrant.



Highway 401 Bridge Improvements at Collins Creek and Interchange/Bridge Improvements at Kingston Road 38 and Sydenham Road



Sydenham Road Bridge (Westbound)



Sydenham Road Bridge and Interchange







KR 38 Interchange

- The previous approved PD & EA Study (GWP 4049-11-00) recommended an interim and ultimate interchange configuration.
- The interim works were constructed in 2021 (Contract 2018-4011).
- > The ultimate plan, which is the subject of this study, includes KR 38 bridge replacement (with three NB and three SB lanes) and the new N-E On-Ramp. These improvements are anticipated to result in acceptable operations in the future horizon year (2055).



Traffic Assessment Overview

Sydenham Road Interchange

- > To maintain acceptable operations in the future horizon year (2055), widening from two lanes to four lanes (two NB and two SB lanes) is recommended within the interchange area.
- Maintaining access to Mclvor Road with a signalized intersection is anticipated to deteriorate future interchange traffic operations and result in congested ramp terminal operations in the future horizon year (2055).
- Roundabout intersections can provide the highest performing traffic operations (operating well / acceptably in 2055) while maintaining access to Mclvor Road.





Challenges and Opportunities

Below is a summary of the identified Challenges and Opportunities identified within the Study Area.

Challenges

- Two bridges (EB Collins Creek and Sydenham Road) within the study area are nearing the end of their service life and will require replacement in the near future.
- Based on the future traffic projections, by 2030, the existing Sydenham Road interchange intersection operations are anticipated to deteriorate with multiple critical traffic movements, and by 2040, it is anticipated that the interchange intersection will not be able to accommodate the projected growth.



Opportunities

- Completing the necessary bridge replacements that will protect the safety of the public and provides an opportunity to accommodate the future footprint of Highway 401.
 - By identifying a future Sydenham Road interchange configuration, the bridge replacement can be implemented efficiently and in a cost-effective manner, minimizing future waste while improving traffic operations.
 - Identifying the future interchange configuration at Sydenham Road will provide a plan to manage adjacent developments and highway corridor access.





Evaluation Process and Selection of the Recommended Plan

The evaluation process that leads to selection of the Recommended Plan involves a number of steps as outlined below:



Highway 401 Bridge Improvements at Collins Creek and Interchange/Bridge Improvements at Kingston Road 38 and Sydenham Road

• The Class EA process requires that alternatives to the proposed undertaking be considered to ensure that there is reasonable and sufficient justification to proceed with the project.

The first step is to develop Alternatives to the Undertaking which are broad level options that represent functionally different ways to address the identified transportation needs. The "Do Nothing" alternative is typically included for consideration as it provides a base to which other alternatives can be compared.

These alternatives are assessed based on their ability to address the identified Challenges and Opportunities and meet

• Following an evaluation of the Alternatives to the Undertaking and selection of the best alternative to carry forward the next step is to identify alternative methods of implementing the undertaking and developing a Long List of

• The Long List of Alternatives are then screened in terms of technical feasibility and high-level environmental factors to establish the advantages and disadvantages of each alternative and to identify a Short List of Alternatives to be carried forward for further evaluation using more detailed criteria considered relevant to this undertaking.

The criteria to be used to evaluate the Short List of Alternatives consider potential effects on the technical, natural, socio-economic, and cultural environments.

The preliminary criteria are presented for public review and comment at PIC#1. The evaluation criteria are then refined based on comments received and used to evaluate the Short List of Alternatives and ultimately, in selection of the

• The evaluation of the Short List of Alternatives and selection of the Preliminary Recommended Plan will be presented for public review and comment at PIC #2 to be scheduled at a later date.

Following PIC#2 and the receipt of input the Final Recommended Plan will be selected. • The Recommended Plan and associated mitigation will be documented in a TESR which will be made available for a 30-





Alternatives to the Undertaking

Alternatives to the Undertaking

Do Nothing: Maintain "status quo". Under this condition, no Highway 401 improvements are considered other than the rehabilitation of the existing bridges. The configuration of the interchanges would be maintained. This does not allow for accommodation of the future Highway 401 footprint.

Transportation Demand Management (TDM): TDM strategies reduce the overall demand on the highway network by shifting demands to time periods outside of the critical congestion periods and shift demands to alternative modes of transportation.

Improvements to Adjacent Road Systems: This alternative includes expansion of the muncipal road networks to increase overall transportation network capacity.

Improvements to Provincial Transportation Facility: This alternative proposes the replacement of the bridges and identifying improvements to the Sydenham Road interchange to accommodate operational needs and the future Highway 401 footprint.

The Alternatives to the Undertaking developed for the current project and the associated evaluation are summarized below:

Evaluation	Rationale	
Χ	 Does not address structural replacement needs and anticipated future growth needs. Do not carry forward. 	 The Al Under based the ide opport study. As illus to Pro Facility option identifichaller
Χ	 Does not address structural replacement needs and anticipated future growth needs. Do not carry forward. 	
Χ	 Does not address structural replacement needs and anticipated future growth needs. Do not carry forward. 	
	 Addresses structural replacement needs and anticipated growth needs. Carry Forward. 	

The Alternatives to the Undertaking were evaluated based on their ability to address the identified challenges / opportunities within the area of

As illustrated, the **Improvements** to Provincial Transportation **Facility** alternative is the only option that will fully address the identified transportation challenges/ opportunities.

Summary of Long List Alternatives

Sydenham Road Bridge Replacement/Alignment

- > Sydenham Road will be wider and at a higher elevation through the interchange area because:
 - 4 through-lanes are required on Sydenham Road at the interchange.
 - New bridge needs to allow for a future wider Highway 401 within its 75-year service life.
- > This will impact entrances and properties in the vicinity of the interchange. Proposed alignment alternatives have been investigated to consider and mitigate these impacts.

Alternative 1:

Bridge Replacement on the Existing Alignment

NOT CARRIED FORWARD

- > Significant construction traffic impacts (long term road closure).
- Significant industrial / commercial property impact and displacements.

Alternative 2:

- Bridge Replacement on New Alignment
- West Side (No Overlap)

CARRY FORWARD

- > Avoids long term road closure during new bridge construction.
- > Avoids industrial / commercial property impact.
- > Provides an opportunity to maintain or provide a more desirable (safer) access to commercial/industrial properties.

Alternative 3:

Bridge Replacement on New Alignment - East Side (No Overlap) **NOT CARRIED FORWARD**

Significant industrial / commercial property impact and displacements.

Alternative 4: Bridge Replacement on New Alignment -West Side (Overlap) **NOT CARRIED FORWARD** >Impacts industrial / commercial properties.

>Long construction duration, long term lane closures, and high construction cost.

Click Here to view a high-resolution version of the Sydenham Road Bridge Replacement / Alignment Alternatives.

Alternative 5:

Bridge Replacement on New Alignment -East Side (Overlap) **NOT CARRIED FORWARD**

- Significant industrial / commercial property impact and displacements.
- >Long construction duration, long term lane closures, and high construction cost.

Summary of Long List Alternatives Sydenham Road Interchange Improvements – North Side

Alternative N1: Parclo A2 (R = 80 m) NOT CARRIED FORWARD ≻Congested operations (2055).

Alternative N2: Parclo A2 (R = 80 m) with Roundabout CARRY FORWARD ≻Operates well (2055).

- > Operates well (2055).
- > Operates better than all alternatives.
- Maintains access to McIvor Road

Alternative N4A: Parclo A4 (R = 70 m) NOT CARRIED FORWARD

Similar to N3A, but only slightly enhanced geometry with incrementally greater impacts.

Alternative N4B: Parclo A4 (R = 70 m) NOT CARRIED FORWARD

Similar to N3B, but only slightly enhanced geometry with incrementally greater impacts.

Click Here to view a high-resolution version of the North Side Sydenham Road Interchange Improvements Alternatives.

Alternative N3A: Parclo A4 (R = 55 m) CARRY FORWARD

- >Acceptable operations (2055).
- >Operates better than all other signalized alternatives (However, closes McIvor Road, and permanently detours traffic to Sunnyside Road.)

Alternative N3B:
Parclo A4 (R = 55 m)
CARRY FORWARD
> Maintains McIvor Road
> (However, congested operations
 (2055)).

Alternative N4C: Modified Parclo A4 (R = 70 m) NOT CARRIED FORWARD

Similar to N3C, but only slightly enhanced geometry with incrementally greater impacts.

Alternative N5: Diamond NOT CARRIED FORWARD

- ≻Closes McIvor Road.
- Greater impact to Cloverdale Park, Natural Environment, and Watercourses.
- Cannot be upgraded in the future without significant work. (However, acceptable operations (2055)).

16

PROPOSED REALIGNMENT PROPOSED STRUCTURE REMOVAL EXISTING MTO RIGHT-OF-WAY

Alternative N3C:
Modified Parclo A4 (R = 55 m)
NOT CARRIED FORWARD
Congested operations (2055).
Maintains undesirable buttonhook configuration.

Alternative N6:
Modified Diamond
NOT CARRIED FORWARD
Congested operations (2055).
Maintains undesirable buttonhook configuration.

Summary of Long List Alternatives

Sydenham Road Interchange Improvements – North Side (Carried Forward to Short List Evaluation)

Click Here to view a high-resolution version of the North Side Sydenham Road Interchange Improvements Alternatives.

Alternative N3A Parclo A4 with McIvor Closed

Alternative N3B Parclo A4 with Mclvor Open

Summary of Long List Alternatives

Sydenham Road Interchange Improvements – South Side

Alternative S1: Parclo A2 (R = 55 m / 90 m) NOT CARRIED FORWARD

≻Congested operations (2055).

Maintains existing undesirable access condition for entrances.

Alternative S5B: Parclo A4 (R = 70 m) NOT CARRIED FORWARD

Similar to S3. (However, S3 also provides acceptable traffic operations and lower construction costs.)

Alternative S2: Parclo A2 (R = 55 m) NOT CARRIED FORWARD

- Cannot be upgraded to Parclo A4 without displacing the industrial / commercial properties (very high cost).
- Provides a less desirable access condition for entrances.

Alternative S6: Diamond

NOT CARRIED FORWARD

- Cannot be upgraded in the future without significant work. (However, acceptable operations (2055)).
- Provides a less desirable access condition for entrances.

Alternative S3: Parclo A2 (R = 70 m) CARRY FORWARD

- Acceptable operations (2055). (However, generally maintains undesirable access condition, mitigation required).
- ➤Can be upgraded to Parclo A4 when required in the future (Requires west realignment).

Alternative S4:
Parclo A4 (R = 55 m)
NOT CARRIED FORWARD
> Displaces industrial / commercial
properties (very high cost).

Alternative S7*:

Parclo A2 (R = 55 m) with Roundabout CARRY FORWARD

- ≻Operates well (2055).
- Provides a more desirable (safer) access condition by consolidating entrances on an access road linked to roundabout.

Alternative S8*: Parclo A2 (R = 55 m) CARRY FORWARD

- >Acceptable operations (2055).
- Provides a more desirable (safer) access condition by consolidating entrances on an access road.
- Does not preclude a future S-E Ramp (dashed line) to increase interchange capacity (beyond the horizon year).

PROPOSED REALIGNMENT PROPOSED STRUCTURE REMOVAL EXISTING MTO RIGHT-OF-WAY

Alternative S5A: Parclo A4 (R = 70 m) NOT CARRIED FORWARD > Displaces industrial / commercial properties (very high cost).

Click Here to view a highresolution version of the South Side Sydenham Road Interchange Improvements Alternatives.

*Requires minor adjustment to preferred north interchange alternative alignment. These are not shown on slides 16 & 17 as the minor modifications are not decision relevant.

Summary of Long List Alternatives

<u>Sydenham Road Interchange Improvements – South Side (Carried Forward to Short List Evaluation)</u>

Alternative S3 Parclo A2

For Alternative S3:

> Mitigation is required to address access concerns associated with multiple entrances at the south ramp terminal intersection.

Click Here to view a high-resolution version of the South Side Sydenham Road Interchange Improvements Alternatives.

Highway 401 Bridge Improvements at Collins Creek and Interchange/Bridge Improvements at Kingston Road 38 and Sydenham Road

Alternative S7* Parclo A2 with Roundabout

*Requires minor adjustment to preferred north interchange alternative alignment. These are not shown on slides 16 & 17 as the minor modifications are not decision relevant.

Alternative S8* Parclo A2

Short List Evaluation Criteria

Alternatives and select the Recommended Plan.

Natural Environment

Fish and Fish Habitat

- Species at Risk
- Surface Water / Groundwater

Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat

- Designated Natural Areas / Wetlands & Vegetation Communities
- Contamination

Socio-Economic Environment

- Community Impacts
- Existing & Planned Land Uses
- Noise & Air Quality
- Property Impacts
- Impacts to Emergency Services
- Recreational Trails / Active Transportation Networks
- Climate Change

Cultural Environment

- > Archaeological resources
- Built Heritage and Cultural Heritage Landscapes

> The following preliminary evaluation criteria has been identified by the Project Team to evaluate the Short List of

Transportation and Constructability

- > Traffic Operations
- > Geometrics
- > Safety
- > Constructability
- Potential to impact existing utility and servicing infrastructure

- Construction Costs
- Property Acquisition Costs
- > Operational / maintenance Costs
- Following PIC #1 an Evaluation Matrix will be developed to assess each of the alternatives in terms of the potential to impact each of the above noted criteria.
- This will assist in making selection of a Recommended Plan that will address the issues and deficiencies, but also keep impacts to a minimum.

Let us know what other criteria should be included!

Preliminary Traffic Management – EB Collins Creek Bridge

> Single stage structure replacement is not preferred.

- Several months with major EB traffic detour off Highway 401 onto municipal roads (see Figure 1).
- > Single stage replacement with temporary works (i.e., median highway crossovers) is not preferred.
 - Closure of the KR 38 W-N/S Off-Ramp (impacts LCV routes), and significant temporary works and throwaway costs.
- > Staging the bridge replacement and demolition on Highway 401 is preferred (see Figure 2).
 - Part of the new bridge is constructed in the highway median with traffic on the original bridge. Once the first part of the new bridge is constructed, traffic is shifted, demolition of the old bridge is completed, and the remaining part of the new bridge is constructed.
 - Minimizes traffic detours, lane closures, traffic disruptions, and impacts to the municipal network.
 - Staging may be coordinated with KR 38 bridge replacement for efficiency.

Click Here to view a high-resolution version of the Preliminary Traffic Management Alternatives.

Highway 401 Bridge Improvements at Collins Creek and Interchange/Bridge Improvements at Kingston Road 38 and Sydenham Road

Figure 1: EB detour alternatives for a single stage structure replacement including Highway 401 EB full closure at EB Collins Creek (Not Preferred).

Figure 2: Example staged bridge replacement. East view of the recent staged replacement at WB Collins Creek (Contract 2018-4011) (Preferred).

Preliminary Traffic Management – KR 38 Bridge and Interchange

- > Proposed replacement structure on separate alignment west of the existing bridge per previous Approved PD & EA Study (GWP 4049-11-00)
 - Minimizes KR 38 road closures.

> Demolition of the bridge in multiple stages over multiple weeks is not preferred

Extended traffic disruption and impacts due to Highway 401 lane reductions, and significant temporary works and throwaway costs.

> Bridge demolition in a single stage is preferred.

- This typically requires a one-night planned full closure with traffic detours (see Figure 1).
- The closure can be scheduled outside of the tourist season and peak traffic flows, with planned detours.
- Bridge replacement girder erection may also require limited planned highway lane closures (e.g., rolling closures) and detours.

Click Here to view a high-resolution version of the Preliminary Traffic Management Alternatives.

Highway 401 Bridge Improvements at Collins Creek and Interchange/Bridge Improvements at Kingston Road 38 and Sydenham Road

Figure 1: EB/WB detour alternatives for a one-night highway closure at **KR 38 (Preferred).** *Highway 401 EB/WB and On-Ramp closures pending recommended detour(s).

22

Preliminary Traffic Management – Sydenham Road Bridge and Interchange

- > Proposed replacement structure on separate alignment west of the existing bridge.
 - Minimizes Sydenham Road closures.
- > Demolition of the bridge in multiple stages is not technically feasible.
- > Bridge demolition in a single stage is preferred.
 - This typically requires a one-night planned full closure with traffic detours (see Figure 1).
 - Minimizes Sydenham Road closures.
 - This can be scheduled outside of the tourist season and peak traffic flows, with planned detours.
 - The associated bridge replacement girder erection may also require limited planned highway lane closures (e.g., rolling closures) and traffic detours.

Click Here to view a high-resolution version of the Preliminary Traffic Management Alternatives.

Highway 401 Bridge Improvements at Collins Creek and Interchange/Bridge Improvements at Kingston Road 38 and Sydenham Road

Figure 1: EB/WB detour alternatives for a one-night highway closure at Sydenham Road (Preferred). *Highway 401 EB/WB and On-Ramp closures pending recommended detour(s).

23

Next Steps & How to Stay Informed

Following this PIC the Project Team will:

- Respond to comments received.
- Complete the evaluation of the Short List o Alternatives.
- Present the evaluation of the Short List of **Alternatives and the Preliminary Recomme** Plan at PIC #2.

Project website at: Hwy401KingstonBridgesEA.ca

	The following information is availab for this PIC:	
of	PIC #1 Video Presentation	
	PIC #1 Presentation Slides [PD	
	PIC #1 Presentation Transcript	
ended	Sydenham Road Bridge Replace Alternatives [PDF]	
	Sydenham Road Interchange A	
	Preliminary Traffic Manageme	

le on the Study Website

[PDF] ement / Alignment

Iternatives [PDF] nt Alternatives [PDF]

Thank you for attending PIC #1!

- A **<u>PIC Comment Form</u>** can be found via the Study Website.
- Please provide any comments by June 7, 2024.

For more information:

- Visit our Study Website at: Hwy401KingstonBridgesEA.ca
- **Email the Project Team at:** ProjectTeam@Hwy401KingstonBridgesEA.ca
- **Contact us by telephone:**

Nancy Elliott, P.Eng. Senior Project Engineer Ministry of Transportation, Project Delivery East 1355 John Counter Boulevard, Postal Bag 4000 Kingston, Ontario K7L 5A3

Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. Comments and information regarding this study are being collected to assist the MTO and AECOM in meeting the requirements of the Ontario Environmental Assessment Act, and in accordance with the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

Thank You!

Geoff Coy, P.Eng.

AECOM Project Manager 105 Commerce Valley Drive West, 7th Floor Markham, Ontario L3T 7W3 Tel: (905) 418-1502

Pour obtenir des renseignements en français, composer le 1-705-919-6786 (Patrick Hébert), Courriel: patrick.hebert1@aecom.com

